

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/697,597	10/29/2003	Michael S. Lockard	P-US082-A-MF	7857
32107 75	590 12/14/2006		EXAM	INER
MICROFABRICA INC. ATT: DENNIS R. SMALLEY			ARANCIBIA, MAUREEN GRAMAGLIA	
7911 HASKELL AVENUE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
VAN NUYS, CA 91406			1763	

DATE MAILED: 12/14/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	Office Action Summary 10/697,597 LOCKARD ET A			
	omoo nodon ouninary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication	Maureen G. Arancibia	1763	
Period fo		appears on the cover sheet with	Tule correspondence address	
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RECHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING INSIDE OF THE MAILING INSIDE OF THE MAILING INSIDE OF THE MAILING INSIDE OF THE OF THE MAILING INSIDE OF THE OF	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rep i. Iniod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH tatute, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. ly be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this communication NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	1 September 2006.		
• —	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	This action is non-final.		
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allo	wance except for formal matter	rs, prosecution as to the merits is	
	closed in accordance with the practice und	er Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Dispositi	ion of Claims			
4) 🛛	Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the applicat	tion.		
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>6-11,17-22,24 an</u>		sideration.	
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)	Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-5,12-16,23,25,27 and 28</u> are su	bject to restriction and/or election	on requirement.	
Applicati	ion Papers			
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.		
•	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		the Examiner.	
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor	*	, ,	١.
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	•	•	,-
	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	oign priority under 35 H S C S 3	110(a) (d) or (f)	
•	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	eight phonty under 35 0.5.0. §	1 19(a)-(u) or (i).	
۵٫۱	1. Certified copies of the priority docum	ients have been received		
	Certified copies of the priority docum		nlication No	
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the			
	application from the International But	•	socived in this Hational Stage	
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a	* **	eceived	
	and and and actually control action for a	inst of the continue copies not re		
Attachmen		4\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	mmon/(DTO 412)	
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application
6) Other: _____.

Application/Control Number: 10/697,597 Page 2

Art Unit: 1763

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Applicant's election without traverse of Species 8, wherein a thermal spraying method is a high velocity particle consolidation, in the reply filed on 21 September 2006 is acknowledged.
- 2. In response to Applicant's query, the Examiner notes that the statement in the last office action that "because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a))" is merely part of a form paragraph used when Applicant makes an election without expressly stating whether the election was with or without traverse. In other words, Applicant's previous election was treated as an election without traverse. Based on Applicant's query, the present election has also been treated as an election without traverse.
- 3. Further consideration has revealed that this application further contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

Species I: wherein the second material is deposited using a thermal spraying process. (Specification, Paragraphs 62-73) Species 1-10 enumerated in the election of species requirement mailed 23 August 2006 are actually sub-species of this Species I. Should Applicant elect Species I, Applicant will also be considered to have elected subspecies 8, wherein the thermal spraying method is a high velocity particle consolidation, as indicted in the response filed 21 September 2006.

Application/Control Number: 10/697,597 Page 3

Art Unit: 1763

Species II: wherein the second material is deposited using a powder deposition process. (Specification, Paragraphs 74-81)

- 4. The species are independent or distinct because the thermal spraying process and the powder deposition process have independent and/or distinct features and/or modes of operation as disclosed in detail in the Specification (Paragraphs 62-81).
- 5. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, Claim 27 appears to be generic.
- 6. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.
- 7. Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

 MPEP § 809.02(a).
- 8. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

- 9. The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.
- 10. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.
- 11. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Maureen G. Arancibia whose telephone number is (571) 272-1219. The examiner can normally be reached on core hours of 10-5, Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Parviz Hassanzadeh can be reached on (571) 272-1435. The fax phone

Application/Control Number: 10/697,597

Art Unit: 1763

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-

273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Maureen G. Arancibia

Patent Examiner

Mauree St

Art Unit 1763

Parviz Hassanzadeh

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Page 5

Art Unit 1763